Docket No.: 20910/0205435-US0

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Michael D. Estlick et al.

Application No.: 10/795,815

Confirmation No.: 2783

Filed: March 8, 2004

Art Unit: 2186

For:

PARTIAL ADDRESS COMPARES STORED IN TRANSLATION LOOKASIDE BUFFER Examiner: M. C. Krofcheck

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, as it was originally filed: (Check one of the boxes A-D)

2

Docket No.: 20910/0205435-US0

Application No.: 10/795,815

(check one of the boxes "a" and "b" below:)

| (a) first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS; or (b) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS. |
|---|
| 2. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.98, this IDS includes a list (e.g., form PTO/SB/08) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document listed is attached except as explained below. |
| (check boxes A, B and/or C and fill in blanks, if appropriate.) |
| A. Pursuant to the Notice issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office dated July 11, 2003 waiving the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2)(i), a copy/copies of the United States Patent on PTO/SB08 is/are not being submitted. |
| B. Document(s) is (are) deemed substantially cumulative to document(s), and, in accordance with 1.98(c), only a copy of each of the latter documents is enclosed. |
| C. Certain documents were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in the following prior applications, which are relied upon under 35 U.S.C. 120: |
| < <insert &="" date="" filing="" no.="" serial="">></insert> |
| Applicant identifies these documents by attaching hereto copies of the forms PTO-892, PTO-1449 and/or PTO/SB/08 from the files of the prior application(s) or a fresh PTO/SB/08 listing these documents, and request that they be considered and made of record in accordance with 1.98(d). Per 37 CFR 1.98(d), copies of these documents need not be filed in this application. |
| 3. Cite No(s) are not in the English language. In accordance with 1.98(c), Applicant states: |

3

Docket No.: 20910/0205435-US0

Application No.: 10/795,815

| The requirement for a concise explanation of the relevance of any foreign language document is satisfied by the attached search report; citation of the documents cited in the search report shall not be construed as an admission that they are or are considered to be, material to patentability of the subject matter claimed herein (See MPEP §609). A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) is set forth as follows: [Insert concise explanation of relevance] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) can be found on page(s) of the specification. A concise explanation of document(s) can be found on the attached sheet. 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20). 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows: [A/An Search Report, dated, which issued during the prosecution of Application No which corresponds to the preser application.] | | An English translation of each document (or of the pertinent portions thereof), or a copy of each corresponding English-language patent or application, or English-language abstract (or claim) is enclosed. |
|--|------------|--|
| is set forth as follows: [Insert concise explanation of relevance] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) can be found on page(s) of the specification. A concise explanation of document(s) can be found on the attached sheet. 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20). 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows: [A/An Search Report, dated, which issued during the prosecution of Application No which corresponds to the preservance of the pre | | foreign language document is satisfied by the attached search report; citation of the documents cited in the search report shall not be construed as an admission that they are or are considered to be, material to patentability of the subject matter claimed herein (See |
| be found on page(s) of the specification. A concise explanation of document(s) can be found on the attached sheet. 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20). 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows: [A/An Search Report, dated, which issued during the prosecution of Application No which corresponds to the preser | | is set forth as follows: [Insert concise explanation of |
| attached sheet. 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20). 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows: [A/An Search Report, dated, which issued during the prosecution of Application No which corresponds to the preservance. | | |
| English language (see reply to Comments 67 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20). 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows: [A/An Search Report, dated, which issued during the prosecution of Application No which corresponds to the preservation. | | |
| consideration follows: [A/An Search Report, dated, which issued during the prosecution of Application No which corresponds to the preservation. | 4. | English language (see reply to Comments 67 in the preamble to |
| | <u></u> 5. | - • |
| | | Search Report, dated, which issued during the |

6. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless other-wise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

Early and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency of up to \$300.00 or credit any

excess in this fee to Deposit Account No. 04-0100.

Dated: October 23, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By A Branch

Registration No.: 41,633 DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257 (206) 262-8906 • (212) 527-7701 fax Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

| | | | | | | | C C |) Der | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|-----------------|------------|--|
| U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary) | | | | | Attorne | Attorney Docket No. | | Serial No. | |
| | | | | | SUN04 | SUN040063 | | 10/795,815 | |
| | | | | | Applica | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | | | | Michael | Michael D. Estlick, Harry R. Fair III, et al. | | | |
| | | | | | Filing D | Filing Date | | Group | |
| | | | | | March 8, 2004 | | 2186 | | |
| - 'bv | | | U.S | . Patent Documents | | | | | |
| *Examiner Initial | | Document Number | Date | Name | Class | Subclass | Filing If Appro | | |
| | AA | 5,440,707 | Aug. 8, 1995 | Hayes et al. | 395 | 403 | | | |
| | AB | 5,497,480 | Mar. 5, 1996 | Hayes et al. | 395 | 493 | | | |
| | AC | 5,586,283 | Dec. 17, 1996 | Lopez-Aguado et al. | 395 | 417 | | | |
| - | AD | 5,675,729 | Oct. 7, 1997 | Mehring | 395 | 183.13 | | | |
| | AE | 5,754,818 | May. 19, 1998 | Mohamed | 395 | 417 | | | |
| | AF | 5,802,568 | Sep. 1, 1998 | Csoppenszky | 711 | 136 | | | |
| | AG | 5,842,225 | Nov. 24, 1998 | Kohn | 711 | 202 | | | |
| | AH | 5,918,245 | Jun. 29, 1999 | Yung | 711 | 122 | | | |
| | ΑI | 5,899,994 | May 4, 1999 | Mohamed et al. | 707 | 100 | | | |
| | ΑJ | 5,938,761 | Aug. 17, 1999 | Patel et al. | 712 | 238 | | | |
| | AK | 6,006,312 | Dec. 21, 1999 | Kohn et al. | 711 | 210 | - | | |
| | AL | 6,175,898 B1 | Jan. 16, 2001 | Ahmed et al. | 711 | 137 | | | |
| | AM | 6,341,357 BI | Jan. 22, 2002 | Ravichandran | 714 | 38 | | | |
| | AN | 6,490,658 B1 | Dec. 3, 2002 | Ahmed et al. | 711 | 140 | | | |
| | AO | 6,542,991 B1 | Apr. 1, 2003 | Joy et al. | 712 | 228 | | | |
| | | | Forei | gn Patent Documents | | | | | |
| | | | · | <u> </u> | | | Transl | ation | |
| | | Document | Date | Country | Class | Subclass | Yes | No | |
| | AP | | | | | | | | |
| | AQ | | | | | | | | |
| | AR | | | | | | | | |
| | AS | | | | | | | | |
| | AT | | | | | | | | |
| | | OTHER | ART (Including | Author, Title, Date, Pert | inent Pages, | Etc.) | | | |
| | AU | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner | Date Considered | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | not citation is in conforma | | | line through | citation | |
| if not in conf | ormance | e and not considered | d. Include copy of | this form with your com | munication to | applicant. | | | |